Dear Friends,

Greetings from the desk of the Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research.

Alarming news about the COVID-19 disease comes from Brazil and India, where the infection numbers are high, and the death count grows steadily. It appears that a million people are now
infected in Brazil (out of a population of over 211 million). In India, it is difficult to even estimate the number of those infected, since the testing levels are so low, and the data is so poor. One suggestion is that at least eight million people have been infected (out of a population of over 1.3 billion).

In early June, Brazil’s Ministry of Health took down its website for a day; it was this site that had been publishing the official COVID-19 data. When the site returned the next day, all of the data on past COVID-19 cases had vanished; there was simply no way to assess any official numbers on infection rates or death rates. The opposition to Bolsonaro’s administration criticised this action, with Rodrigo Maia, a politician of the right, saying on Twitter that ‘the health ministry is trying to cover the sun with a sieve. It is urgent to restore the credibility of statistics. A ministry that distorts numbers creates a parallel universe to avoid facing the reality of facts’. It took the intervention of Brazil’s Supreme Court to restore the data. During the 19 June press conference
by the World Health Organisation (WHO), Dr. Michael Ryan, the executive director of the WHO’s Health Emergencies Programme said that in the past 24 hours there had been over 22,000 people infected in Brazil and more than 1,230 additional deaths.

Meanwhile, the Indian Council of Medical Research’s journal showed that the government’s official report of infections in the country till early May (35,000) actually underestimates the true number of infections (700,000) by at least twenty. The official government numbers report that by June 400,000 people have been infected in the country, but the number of those infected could be as high as eight million if we multiply the official figure by twenty (following the Indian Council of Medical Research’s assessment). The official death count is 13,000, which is not a credible figure. One of the immediate findings of the Indian Council of Medical Research’s study is that the government has not carried out contact tracing with any seriousness. Of the people who tested positive for COVID-19 in the study, the Indian government does not know how 44% of them became infected.
Neither in Brazil nor in India have the governments taken a science-based attitude to the virus. In Brazil, Bolsonaro’s government has removed two medical experts – Luiz Henrique Mandetta (paediatric orthopaedist) and then his replacement, Nelson Teich (oncologist) – as ministers of health and replaced them with Eduardo Pazuello, a military man with no medical training. It appears that no medical expert wants to join the government and promote Bolsonaro’s favoured options, and Bolsonaro is unwilling to tolerate scientific evidence that contradicts his political agenda, as evidenced by his dismissal of Mandetta. Like US President Donald Trump, Bolsonaro has masqueraded as a health professional, urging his health ministry to encourage the use of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine as antidotes to the disease. In fact, last week the WHO again pulled hydroxychloroquine from its Solidarity Trial after the drug showed no benefit (and caused heart issues in some patients among other adverse side effects); the US Food and Drug Administration revoked its emergency use authorisation for treating the disease on Monday 15 June; and this past Saturday, the US National Institutes of Health halted a trial for the drug’s ability to treat COVID-19.

In India, Prime Minister Narendra Modi has promoted *atmanirbhar* (self-sufficiency), asking people to take measures on their own to confront the virus. The central government, it appears, will neither do anything nor be responsible. Medical resources have been funnelled from public healthcare systems to private healthcare over the past decades, which has been callous towards those infected by the virus. Private hospitals and clinics are turning away patients whose symptoms could be controlled using ventilators and oxygen; these patients are largely from the middle class, which means that the plight of the workers has gone unnoticed.
Wilcker Morais (Brazil), *Capitalism in the Corona Crisis*, 2020.

Since late 2016, when the right returned to power after the undemocratic ejection of President Dilma Rousseff in what is known as a ‘soft coup’, the Brazilian state has hit the healthcare system with harsh cuts. Constitutional Amendment 95 (December 2016), or EC-95, went into effect in 2018 and froze the federal budget for twenty years, which has had a disastrous impact on the public health system. With forethought, Professor Liana Cirne Lins wrote at around the time the amendment was passed that EC-95 ‘is not a bitter medicine. It is the disease that will put the entire country into an ICU’. In 2017, the government – for the first time in thirty years – disbursed less than what the constitution mandated for the health budget. In addition, the government developed commercial health plans (*planos populares*) to undermine the Unified Health System (*Sistema Único de Saúde*). Regulatory obligations on states and municipalities to invest federal resources on primary healthcare and sanitation were weakened, which meant the attrition of local-level public health systems. Austerity, in a short time, eroded the public health capacity in Brazil, which has long had one of the strongest public healthcare systems in the world – the result of hard-fought social struggles.

When Modi came to office in 2014, his government slashed the health budget by 20% (they have subsequently increased it each year). Today, India dedicates a miniscule amount (1.15%) of its GDP towards the health sector, with the largest amount going to the private sector. The National Health Profile, a document released by the government of India in 2019, showed that there is one doctor for every 10,926 people; this is over ten times less than the WHO mandate to have a doctor to population ratio of 1 doctor for 1,000 people. Medical costs in India are outrageous, with the out-of-pocket expenditure one of the highest in the world. Even before the emergence of the coronavirus, 57 million Indians were pushed into poverty every year as a result of such medical costs. The government’s insurance scheme (*Ayushman Bharat-Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana*) has been gripped by hospital fraud and inefficiency. The National Health Mission, a key public health programme of the Indian government, has seen its budget decline from 2014 to 2020. This steep decline began when the right-wing government of Modi came to power in 2013. It has had a catastrophic impact.
Disregard for the people marks the dangerous incompetence of the governments of Bolsonaro and Modi. Bolsonaro’s cavalier attitude towards the highly contagious nature of the virus has meant that there has not been a well-crafted lockdown of the country; as Bolsonaro began to campaign to completely reopen the country, the mayor of São Paulo, Bruno Covas, accused Bolsonaro of playing ‘Russian roulette’ with the population.

Peoples Dispatch spoke to Jessy Dayane of Levante Popular da Juventude and Frente Brasil Popular to discuss how the government’s denial of the COVID-19 has forced the people of Brazil to choose between dying of hunger and dying of COVID-19. (You can watch Part 2 of the video here)

On 24 March, two weeks after the WHO declared the global pandemic, Modi suddenly announced a three-week lockdown. Nothing was said for two days, and even then, the ‘plan’ that was introduced had no specifics. Two days before the lockdown, the Railway Ministry suspended all passenger trains; buses stopped functioning. This was the start of a catastrophic set of events. Tens of millions of Indian workers had moved from their villages and towns to seek work elsewhere in the country. Many of them are day labourers, have little recourse to savings, and often are given lodging only if they are at work. With no notice, they were essentially told that
they no longer had housing or transit and had to walk hundreds of kilometres to their homes. Life in rural India has been adversely impacted by a lockdown that was enforced without any planning – as shown by studies carried out by the People’s Archive of Rural India and the Society for Social and Economic Research.

Brinda Karat, Polit Bureau member of the Communist Party of India (Marxist) talks to Newsclik about the nationwide protest on 16 June

Anger in both countries has been growing. Under the cover of the lockdown, these governments have attempted to push through the worst of their unpopular agenda - such as attacks on labour rights, the privatisation of healthcare, and severe austerity measures. In Brazil, a central slogan is Fora Bolsonaro (‘Get out, Bolsonaro!’). This is a slogan that resonates in India, where the Left parties have been pushing against policies by the Modi government that have greatly harmed the vast mass of the population. The growing discontent with the governments of men like Bolsonaro and Modi is a hopeful sign.

All things must pass. Even the pandemic, and even the dangerous incompetence of Bolsonaro and Modi. In 1952, the Hindi poet Nagarjun (1911-1998) wrote a charmingly affecting poem about a famine called *Famine and What Comes After*. It gives us the kind of hope we need as the light at the end of the tunnel flickers and seems – occasionally – to go out.

*For days on end the hearth wept, the millstone was forlorn.*
For days on end the one-eyed dog slept beside them.

For days on end the lizards kept a vigil on the wall.
For days on end even the mice were defeated.

Grain came to the house after many days.
Smoke rose above the courtyard after many days.

The household’s eyes shone after many days.
The black crow cleaned its feathers after many days.

Submissions for the second cycle of the international Anti-Imperialist Poster Exhibitions are opening today. It is on the theme of neoliberalism. In our interview with Ecuadorian painter Pavel Égüez, he reminds us that ‘social movements build a thesis for the future’, and the ideas and demands that emerge from movements are what can ‘potentialise art’. Jointly with the International Week of Anti-Imperialist Struggle, Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research is calling for artists to give a visual voice to the people’s struggles. Submissions are due on 16 July, and you can see the first online exhibition, Capitalism, here. We encourage you to circulate it widely and to respond to this call for art.

At Tricontinental: Institute for Social Research, we have been investigating the coronavirus and its impact on the world’s people. You can find these publications on our website (more coming soon), and we have put together a quick guide here:

- Dossier no. 28: CoronaShock: A Virus and the World
- Dossier no. 29: Health Is a Political Choice
- CoronaShock Study no. 1: China and CoronaShock
- CoronaShock Study no. 2: CoronaShock and the Hybrid War Against Venezuela
- Red Alert no. 7: Essential Facts About the Novel Coronavirus and COVID-19

After many days, the clouds will part, the sun will shine, and humanity will be able to transcend the dangerous incompetence of neofascism.

Warmly, Vijay.